HBH Docket No.: 60046.0048US01

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 3-21 are pending in the present application. By this amendment, claims 1, 4, 8-10, and 13 are amended, and claims 17-21 are added. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present claims in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

I. Formal Matters

Interview Summary Under 37 C.F.R. §1.133

A telephonic interview occurred between Examiner Brown and the undersigned, Jodi Hartman, on June 23, 2006. The interview covered the 35 U.S.C. §102(e) rejection of claims 1 and 3-16 as being unpatentable over United States Publication No. 2003/0233535 to Nunn et al. (hereinafter "Nunn"). Examiner Brown and the undersigned discussed potential amendments to independent claims 1, 8, and 13 similar to those set forth above to more clearly recite aspects of the present invention. Examiner Brown suggested that such amendments would likely overcome the rejection of the claims in view of Nunn.

II. Claim Rejections

Claims 1 and 3-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated Nunn. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

A. Claims 1 and 3-7 are allowable.

As amended, claim 1 recites that a method for maintaining a boot order of one or more mass storage devices within a computer system comprises retrieving a first list of mass storage devices within the computer system prior to the configuration change; comparing the mass storage devices of the first list with a second list of mass storage devices within the computer system after the configuration change to determine at least one mass storage device from the first list of mass storage devices that was removed from the computer system; and rearranging the boot order of the mass storage devices within the computer system after the configuration change so that the mass storage devices are booted in the order used prior to the configuration change.

Serial No.: 10/633,099 Response to Final Office Action HBH Docket No.: 60046.0048US01

Nunn does not teach or suggest a method for maintaining a boot order of one or more mass storage devices within a computer system comprising retrieving a first list of mass storage devices within the computer system prior to the configuration change and comparing the mass storage devices of the first list with a second list of mass storage devices within the computer system after the configuration change to determine at least one mass storage device from the first list of mass storage devices that was removed from the computer system, as recited by claim 1. On the contrary, Nunn describes a method for preserving a boot order selected by a user or manufacturer by determining whether one or more bootable devices have been removed from a system, and if one of the bootable devices has been removed, then storing an indicator in the entry of the boot order corresponding to the removed bootable device that indicates that the removed bootable device is dormant. This is not analogous to the method recited by claim 1 because Nunn fails to teach or suggest determining whether one or more bootable devices have been removed from a system by retrieving a first list of bootable devices within the system prior to a configuration change and comparing the bootable devices on the first list with a second list of bootable devices within the system after the configuration change to determine at least one bootable device from the first list of bootable devices that was removed from the system.

For at least the reasons given above, claim 1 is allowable over Nunn. Since claims 3-7 depend from claim 1 and recite further claim features, Applicant respectfully submits that Nunn does not anticipate Applicant's claimed invention as embodied in claims 3-7. Accordingly, withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully requested.

B. Claims 8-12 are allowable.

As amended, claim 8 recites that a method for maintaining a boot order that defines the order in which a computer system attempts to perform an initial program load from one or more mass storage devices within the computer comprises retrieving a first list of mass storage devices within the computer system prior to the configuration change and a second list of mass storage devices within the computer system after the configuration change; comparing the mass storage devices of the first list with the mass storage devices of the second list to determine the mass storage devices of the second list present in the first list; associating each of the mass storage devices of the second list determined to be present in the first list with a boot priority such that the mass storage devices of the second list determined to be present in the first list are booted in

HBH Docket No.: 60046.0048US01

the boot order used prior to the configuration change; after associating each of the mass storage devices of the second list determined to be present in the first list with a boot priority, determining at least one mass storage device of the second list not associated with a boot priority; and associating the at least one mass storage device of the second list with a boot priority such that the at least one mass storage device is booted at the end of the boot order.

Nunn does not teach or suggest a method for maintaining a boot order as recited by claim 8. In contrast, Nunn describes a method for preserving a boot order selected by a user or manufacturer by determining whether a bootable device has been added to the system, and if a bootable device has been added to the system, then storing an indicator for the added device in a new entry at the end of the list that indicates the boot order of the devices in the system. This is not analogous to the method recited by claim 8 because Nunn fails to teach or suggest retrieving a first list of bootable devices within the system prior to a configuration change and a second list of bootable devices within the system after the configuration change, comparing the first list with the second list to determine the bootable devices of the second list present on the first list, associating the bootable devices of the second list also on the first list with a boot priority such that the bootable devices of the second list also on the first list are booted in a boot order before the configuration change, determining a bootable device on the second list not associated with a boot priority, and associating the bootable device on the second list with a boot priority such that the bootable device is booted at the end of the boot order. In fact, Nunn notes that a determination is made whether a bootable device has been added to the system, without discussing how that determination is made.

For at least the reasons given above, claim 8 is allowable over Nunn. Since claims 9-12 depend from claim 8 and recite further claim features, Applicant respectfully submits that Nunn does not anticipate Applicant's claimed invention as embodied in claims 9-12. Accordingly, withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully requested.

C. Claims 13-16 are allowable.

. As amended, claim 13 recites that a computer system operative to attempt an initial program load from one or more mass storage devices according to a defined boot order comprises a non-volatile memory storing a basic input/output system (BIOS) executable on the central processing unit operative to retrieve a first list of mass storage devices within the

HBH Docket No.: 60046.0048US01

computer system prior to the configuration change and to retrieve a second list of mass storage devices within the computer system after the configuration change; to compare the mass storage devices of the first list with the mass storage devices of the second list to determine at least one mass storage device from the first list of mass storage devices that was removed from the computer system; and to rearrange the boot order of the mass storage devices within the computer after the configuration change so that the mass storage devices are booted in the order used prior to the configuration change.

Nunn does not teach or suggest a computer system comprising a BIOS executable on the central processing unit operative to retrieve a first list of mass storage devices within the computer system prior to a configuration change and a second list of mass storage devices within the computer system after the configuration change and to compare the mass storage devices of the first list with the mass storage devices of the second list to determine at least one mass storage device from the first list that was removed from the computer system. On the contrary, Nunn describes an information handling system for preserving a boot order selected by a user or manufacturer including a BIOS operative to determine whether one or more bootable devices have been removed from a system, and if one of the bootable devices has been removed, then to store an indicator in the entry of the boot order corresponding to the removed bootable device that indicates that the removed bootable device is dormant. This is not analogous to the computer system recited by claim 13 because Nunn fails to teach or suggest that the BIOS determines whether one or more bootable devices have been removed from a system by retrieving a first list of bootable devices within the system prior to a configuration change and a second list of bootable devices within the system after the configuration change and comparing the bootable devices on the first list with the bootable devices on the second list to determine at least one bootable device from the first list of bootable devices that was removed from the system.

For at least the reasons given above, claim 13 is allowable over Nunn. Since claims 14-16 depend from claim 13 and recite further claim features, Applicant respectfully submits that Nunn does not anticipate Applicant's claimed invention as embodied in claims 14-16. Accordingly, withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully requested.

Serial No.: 10/633,099

Response to Final Office Action HBH Docket No.: 60046.0048US01

III. New Claims 17-21

New claims 17-21 are directed to further embodiments of the Applicant's claimed invention. Support for new claims 17-18 may be found at page 13, line 24 through page 14, line 12 and Figure 4C. Support for new claims 19-21 may be found at page 17, line 22 through page 18, line 8 and page 18, line 21 through page 19, line 21.

A. New claims 17-18 are allowable.

New independent claim 17 is allowable over Nunn because Nunn fails to teach or suggest a method for maintaining a boot order of one or more mass storage devices within a computer system comprising retrieving a list of the mass storage devices within the computer system prior to the configuration change, removing an entry for the at least one mass storage device removed from the computer system from the list of the mass storage devices, and rearranging the boot order of the mass storage devices remaining on the list of the mass storage devices so that the remaining mass storage devices are booted in the order used prior to the configuration change. Instead of removing an entry for the device removed from the system from the list of devices, Nunn describes that the entry corresponding to a removed bootable device remains in the boot order list and is marked as dormant indicating that the bootable device is not present in the system, as illustrated in Figure 3b.

For at least these reasons, new claim 17 is allowable over Nunn. Since new claim 18 depends from claim 17 and recites additional features, Applicant respectfully submits that Nunn does not anticipate Applicant's claimed invention as embodied in claim 18 for at least these reasons.

B. New claims 19-21 are allowable.

New independent claim 19 is allowable over Nunn because Nunn fails to teach or suggest a method for maintaining a boot order that defines the order in which a computer system attempts to perform an initial program load from one or more mass storage devices within the computer comprising determining prior to attempting to perform the initial program load whether a configuration change made to the computer system since a previous boot is a manual configuration change to the computer system; if the configuration change to the computer system is not a manual configuration change, then assigning a boot priority to each mass storage device

HBH Docket No.: 60046.0048US01

currently in the system that was not installed at the previous boot at the end of the boot order;

and if the configuration change to the computer system is a manual configuration change, then

maintaining the boot order of the mass storage devices used prior to the configuration change. In

contrast, Nunn describes a method for preserving a boot order selected by a user or manufacturer

by determining whether a device change is detected, without teaching or suggesting determining

whether the change is a manual change, and if so, then storing an indicator for the added device

in a new entry at the end of the list that indicates the boot order of the devices in the system, and

if not, then maintaining the boot order of the devices used prior to the change. In fact, Nunn

describes that the BIOS determines whether a device change is detected, without teaching or

suggesting how the BIOS determines whether a device change is detected.

For at least these reasons, new claim 19 is allowable over Nunn. Since new claims 20-

21 depend from claim 19 and recite additional features, Applicant respectfully submits that Nunn

does not anticipate Applicant's claimed invention as embodied in claims 20-21 for at least these

reasons.

CONCLUSION

For at least these reasons, Applicant asserts that the pending claims 1 and 3-21 are in

condition for allowance. Applicant further asserts that this response addresses each and every

point of the final Office Action, and respectfully requests that the Examiner pass this application

with claims 1 and 3-21 to allowance. Should the Examiner have any questions, please contact

Applicant's attorney at 404.522.1100.

Respectfully submitted,

HOPE BALDAUFF HARTMAN, LLC

Date: June 30, 2006

Hope Baldauff Hartman, LLC

P.O. Box 2825

Atlanta, Georgia 30301

Telephone: 404.522.1100

Jodi II. Hartman

Reg. No. 55,2**\$**1

14